

## Going Global Partnerships - India

## **Guidance notes**

**Industry Academia TNE Grants 2025** 

Call Opens on Tuesday, 15 July 2025

#### **INDUSTRY ACADEMIA TNE GRANT 2025-26**

5.	Ethics	8
6.	Safeguarding and protecting adults at risk	8
7.	Impact on the Environment	8
8.	Privacy Notice	9
9.	Application Process and Documentation	9
10.	Application Form	10
11.	Budget Sheet	12
12.	Selection Process	15
13.	Pre-Submission Checklist	16
14.	Assessment Criteria	16
15.	Key Milestone Dates	18
16.	Applicant screening	18
17.	Granting Process	19
18.	British Council contractual requirements	19
19.	Monitoring and Reporting	20
Anne	ex 1 – List of eligible not-for-profit research institutions, establishment, and organisations	21
Anne	ex 2 – Eligible and ineligible costs	24


#### **Grant call for Industry Academia TNE Grants 2025-26**

#### About Going Global Partnerships

<u>Going Global Partnerships</u> supports universities, colleges and wider education stakeholders around the world to work together towards stronger, equitable, more inclusive and internationally connected higher education, science and TVET.

Through international partnerships, system collaborations and opportunities to connect and share, we enable stronger transnational education, more collaborative research, higher quality delivery, enhanced learner outcomes and stronger, internationalised, equitable and inclusive systems and institutions.

Higher education and TVET providers and research organisations can access funding to develop collaborative partnerships with institutions in other countries, focusing on key areas such as capacity strengthening, collaborative research projects, developing joint teaching programmes and establishing new transnational education links. These mutually beneficial partnerships help universities and colleges develop and sustain stronger international connections.



We help to strengthen higher education and TVET in five core areas:

- **Enabling research** supporting research, knowledge and innovation collaborations to address local and global challenges and promote inclusive growth.
- Internationalising higher education and TVET supporting systems, institutions and individuals to benefit from internationalisation, including enabling transnational education and system alignment.
- **Strengthening systems and institutions** improving the quality and efficiency of higher education and TVET institutions and systems.
- Enhancing learner outcomes addressing the qualities of the global graduate, including soft skills, employability and community outcomes.
- Increasing equality, diversity and inclusion making higher education and TVET more accessible, equitable and accountable.

See open and upcoming Going Global Partnerships opportunities. Opportunities are being launched continually, so please check this page regularly.

## 1. The Grant Call

#### **Call name – Industry Academia TNE Grants 2025-26**

Maximum value of grant	£20,000
Number of grants expected to be funded in this call	04
Deadline for applications	Sunday 17 August 2025 Time: 23:59 (UK time)
Contact for further information	Goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org

#### Call objectives and intended outcomes

#### Objectives:

#### **Enabling research**

- Researcher and academic staff apply enhanced knowledge in dissemination and translation
- Institutions strengthen and adopts new international collaborative research practices
- Institutions strengthen capacity for international collaborative research
- Research and academic staff have increased knowledge of dissemination and translation
- New collaborative excellent research outputs created and knowledge exchanged

#### Internationalising higher education and TVET

- Student, researchers, graduates, academic staff and policy makers have increased engagement, visits, (short and longer) mobility and/or access to and from the UK
- Students, graduates, researchers, academic staff, and policymakers develop a greater international outlook
- Institutions have improved awareness of market drivers towards internationalisation
- Institutions and systems have improved awareness and understanding of technical barriers to new or improved environments, frameworks and/or agreements to enable internationalisation of tertiary education and/or UK qualifications
- UK and oversees institutions have improved access to new international markets
- Increased scale and/or effectiveness of UK TNE and Joint Teaching Programmes
- New or improved environments, frameworks and/or agreements to enable internationalisation of tertiary education and/or UK qualifications

#### Strengthening systems and institutions

- Contributions to wider reform processes including quality assurance, compliance, relevant tools, evaluative frameworks and access
- Institutions have improved governance, leadership and/or management
- Institutions develop external engagement strategies (industry, economy, cultural and society)
- Academic staff apply enhanced pedagogical, curriculum and/or assessment knowledge
- Academic staff have enhanced pedagogical, curriculum and/or assessment capabilities

- Institutions and systems have better awareness and improved knowledge of good practice around:
  - Processes including quality assurance, compliance, relevant tools, evaluative frameworks and access
  - o Governance, leadership and/or management
  - External engagement strategies

#### **Enhancing learner outcomes**

- Curricula (course and non-course based) which is relevant to the desired learning outcomes developed
- Academic staff have enhanced pedagogical, curriculum and/or assessment capabilities
   Increasing equality, diversity and inclusion
- Enhanced awareness of good practice through a gendered lens around:
  - o recruitment, retention & career progression policies
  - quality assurance mechanisms
  - integrating gender transformative content & practice within pedagogy
  - o safe & inclusive learning and working environments
- Access to opportunities for women in:
  - o research & knowledge production
  - o tertiary education leadership
  - o international mobility pathways
- Improved understanding and awareness of barriers to participation for women in:
  - STEM and other underrepresented subject courses
  - o academic, research and policy positions
  - international mobility
- Empowered people and effective systems to challenge and change hostile learning and work environments within HE
- Increased participation of women studying for level subjects
- Increased participation and retention of women in research and knowledge production in HE.
- Increased participation of women in international mobility opportunities
- New or improved regulatory frameworks to enable gender equality including recruitment and assessment policies for staff and students
- Policy and quality indices and quality assurance mechanisms and indices are addressed through a gendered lens
- Curricula (course and extra-curricular based), teaching practice and materials support gender equality and challenge gender stereotypes
- Enhanced understanding among faculty, staff, and students about the challenges faced by individuals with disabilities in higher education
- Comprehensive reports detailing barriers and facilitators affecting the participation of people with disabilities in higher education
- Adoption of the policy recommendations by institutions, leading to systemic changes that promote inclusion
- A set of actionable policy recommendations aimed at enhancing disability inclusion in higher education developed
- Increased collaboration between institutions and disability advocacy groups, leading to shared resources and strategies
- Established partnerships among universities, disability organizations, and policymakers dedicated to promoting inclusion
- Adoption of inclusive classroom, lab, and fieldwork designs in higher education settings
- Documented models for inclusive classrooms, labs, and fieldwork, with guidelines for implementation.

## 2. Eligibility criteria for Institutions

Proposals must fulfil the following criteria to be eligible for funding under this call:

#### **ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**

Each proposal must have both:

- one Lead institution from India;
- one Lead institution from the UK and
- One Industry partner either from India or UK
- The proposal must be prepared jointly by leads from all 3 partnering institutions but submitted by the Lead from the UK Institution.

UK led institution must be one of the following:

- Higher Education provider with <u>degree awarding powers</u>.
  - > England Check the 'awarding degrees' drop down section on the specific provider's entry on the OFS register. The OFS register lists all institutions which offer UK degrees, not all of which have degree awarding powers.
  - > Northern Ireland <a href="https://www.nidirect.gov.uk/articles/universities-and-colleges-northern-ireland">https://www.nidirect.gov.uk/articles/universities-and-colleges-northern-ireland</a>
  - > Scotland <a href="https://www.gov.scot/policies/universities/">https://www.gov.scot/policies/universities/</a>
  - > Wales https://www.gov.uk/check-university-award-degree/recognised-bodies-wales
- Not-for-profit research institutions, establishment and organisations. (See Annex 1 for a complete list of these).
- Any public or private organisations with a role in the Technical and Vocational Education Training (TVET)/Further Education (FE) system

**Industry** must be one of the following:

- For-profit/commercial organisations, including micro, small, medium and large enterprises
- Industry or enterprises should be compliant with the necessary local laws.

The UK Lead institution in the must have the capacity to administer the grant. They need to submit a support letter to confirm their capacity.

The partnership can include in their proposals Associated Partners (from India and the UK) affiliated with:

- Higher Education providers
- Not-for-profit research institutions, establishment and organisations
- TVET/FE providers
- Other education organisations/charities/foundations/membership bodies
- Not-for-profit organisations, including Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs)
- For-profit/commercial organisations, including small and medium enterprises (SMEs)
- Branch and satellite campuses of any Higher Education providers
- Government organisations
- Employer organisations and industry bodies
- Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) and Social Enterprise organisations

For-profit non-education organisations are not eligible to receive any grant funds, except to cover travel-associated costs. The proposal must clearly define their contribution to achieving call objectives.

Please send an enquiry to <u>goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org.</u> if you are in doubt about the eligibility of your organisation.

Eligibility checks will be applied to all applications after the grant call closes. Those which are not led by an eligible institution will be rejected during these checks.

## 3. Funding

The Industry Academia TNE grant expects to support 4 projects of £ 20,000 in value each, for a 12-month period, starting from **30 September 2025**.

Applicants may be asked to adjust their budget if their request does not fit within funding guidelines or if this is considered not appropriate by the application reviewers.

For successful partnerships, funds will be disbursed directly to the Contracting Institution according to the approved final budget and after signing of the Grant Agreement. The Contracting Institution is the Lead Institution in the UK. The Contracting Institution is responsible for all budget management, including grant transfers to overseas partner/s. For an equitable partnership, the Contracting Institution should be able to transfer funding to the partnering institution(s) for activities in a timely way. Implementation will be delayed and the objectives of the collaboration and the overall project impacted if the funds are not shared in time. We encourage partners, especially first-time partners, to discuss in advance their internal mechanisms that will enable the budget transfer between countries.

**Note:** Any funding originating from the UK, along with the associated transfer mechanism, must be mutually agreed upon in alignment with the operational methodology. This agreement should be established and discussed at the initial stage of completing the application in collaboration with the partner institution.

## 4. Ethics

It is essential that all legal and professional codes of practice are followed in conducting work supported by this call. Applicants must ensure the proposed activity will be carried out to the highest standards of ethics and research integrity.

In the application form, applicants must clearly articulate how any potential ethical and health and safety issues have been considered and how they will be addressed, ensuring that all necessary ethical approval is in place before the project commences and all risks are minimised.

Please refer to the Research Councils UK 'Policy and Guidelines on Governance of Good Research' (<a href="https://www.ukri.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/UKRI-050321-">https://www.ukri.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/UKRI-050321-</a>
<a href="PolicyGuidelinesGovernanceOfGoodResearchConduct.pdf">PolicyGuidelinesGovernanceOfGoodResearchConduct.pdf</a>), the Inter Academy Partnership report 'Doing Global Science: A Guide to Responsible Conduct in the Global Research Enterprise' (<a href="http://www.interacademycouncil.net/24026/29429.aspx">http://www.interacademycouncil.net/24026/29429.aspx</a>) or contact us for further guidance.

## 5. Safeguarding and protecting adults at risk

The British Council is committed to safeguarding children and adults at risk and to upholding their rights in accordance with all applicable legislation and statutory guidance. As part of that commitment, we require that all staff, and those we work with, including partners and suppliers, to operate within our Safeguarding Policy which articulates our approach to protecting children and adults at risk and promoting their wellbeing.

We have robust systems and procedures in place to both prevent incidents (covering, for example, the recruitment of appropriate staff, training and support given so that staff can work safely, and standards regarding the way in which we carry out activities) together with responsive actions necessary to address situations where we become aware that a child or an adult at risk may have been harmed. As part of our policy, all countries have a named Safeguarding Focal Point (SFP) who is supported by a Regional Safeguarding Manager (RSM) and the British Council Safeguarding Team.

For further information please see: <a href="https://www.britishcouncil.org/about-us/how-we-work/policies/safeguarding">https://www.britishcouncil.org/about-us/how-we-work/policies/safeguarding</a>

## 6. Impact on the Environment

The British Council is committed to minimising its environmental impact. For this call, we have developed a set of questions in the application form which will enable us to understand the expected impact of a proposed project on the climate (and environment more broadly). We welcome information from Applicants regarding environmental considerations such as reducing carbon emissions for their projects (for example, alternatives to national or international travel such as virtual delivery), minimising waste or promoting resource efficiency.

Please note, responses to these questions will not form part of the grant assessment criteria and will not be subject to peer review. The British Council collects this information for internal purposes only.

Please contact us via email <u>goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org</u>, if you have any queries about the environmental impact section.

## 7. Privacy Notice

The British Council and UK partners comply with UK GDPR and the UK Data Protection Act 2018 and data protection laws in other countries that meet internationally accepted standards. The British Council will use the information that you provide for the purposes of processing your application, making any awards and the monitoring & review of any grants. The legal basis for processing your information is agreement with our terms and conditions of application (contract).

We may share all application data with UK partner(s) institution(s), India partner(s) Institution (s) and Industry partner to assist with management of the application process. We may share selected non personal data with agencies responsible for monitoring and evaluation of the Industry Academia TNE Grant.

Your information will not be used/shared beyond the partners mentioned above for any other purpose without your specific consent. British Council and its partners reserve the right to publish and share anonymised aggregated information with stakeholders.

Organisation details, where collected, are used for monitoring and evaluation and statistical purposes. Gender information and country of origin, where collected, is used solely for statistical purposes. If we need to contact you, we will do so using the contact details you have provided.

Under UK Data Protection law, you have the right to ask for a copy of the information we hold on you, and the right to ask us to correct any inaccuracies in that information. If you want more information about this, please contact your local British Council office or see our

website: <a href="http://www.britishcouncil.org/privacy-cookies/data-protection.">http://www.britishcouncil.org/privacy-cookies/data-protection.</a> We will keep your information for a period of seven years is the standard, after the project.

## 8. Application Process and Documentation

All applications should be completed and submitted by **Sunday**, **17 August 2025**. It is possible to download a blank version of the application form to enable your partnership to develop your answers together before it is submitted.

#### **Supporting Documents**

- A common letter of Intent signed by the Head of Department confirming a formal collaboration between all participating institutions and industry on a letterhead.
- A statement of support from the Head of Department (or equivalent) of each participating
  institution and industry that they have read the application and agree to provide consent
  for submitting the same on a letterhead. Letter from UK Lead Institute should also confirm
  that the grant will be administered by them.
- All letters mentioned above along with supporting letter from the state (if any) are to be

# saved as one document in the form of pdf and send to <a href="mailto:goingglobalindia@in.britishcouncil.org">goingglobalindia@in.britishcouncil.org</a> after submitting the online form. The Subject line of the email should be "Supporting Documents" followed by the UK Lead applicant name and UK Lead Institution name.

• Please note the Budget sheet in the form of xls should be part of this mail only.

The deadline for applications is 23:59 (UK time) on 17 August 2025. We recommend that you submit at least an hour before to avoid any last-minute technical glitches.

Due to the volume of applications, we receive, any application received after specified deadline will be considered **ineligible**.

Ensure you have included all of the documentation listed in the Pre-submission Checklist as incomplete applications may be immediately regarded as ineligible. The British Council receives large numbers of applications to its funding calls, and for this reason we cannot facilitate resubmission process should your application be deemed ineligible.

Please ensure that process of seeking institutional approval required for the application submission should be initiated timely. Each Grant call remains open for 4-6 weeks, which is a sufficient time to get required approvals and documents like CV's, supporting letters etc.

Please send the application form and the other required attachments (budget sheet, CVs, etc.) as separate attachments, please do not merge them into a single file.

If you require any access adjustments or have any additional support or learning needs in order to submit the application, please contact us prior to the deadline on goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org.

## 9. Application Form

Applications must only be submitted by clicking on this link.

Word limits must be followed, as text over the word limits will not be read.

#### Official Development Assistance (ODA) Statement

The Grants must be ODA compliant. To be counted as ODA, all activities (research or otherwise) must qualify under rules set down by the OECD. To be ODA-eligible, the OECD states that research projects should be *directly and primarily related to the problems of developing countries.* Please outline the economic development and social welfare impact of your work, including how it is conducted as well as the outputs and potential outcomes. The work should be relevant to at least one of the Sustainable Development Goals.

The British Council supports peace and prosperity by building connections, understanding and trust between people in the UK and countries worldwide. Our granting opportunities are aligned to this mission and reflect it in grant purpose and eligibility criteria. Granting projects which specifically include collaboration with military or security partners will also need to align with this mission. Projects focused on particular fields may preclude any military and security aspects, or aspects which dual-use impacts or outcomes.

All applications are checked to ensure alignment with this policy at the eligibility stage.

#### Gender and Equality Diversity and Inclusion (EDI) Statements

Please contact us for further information on the British Council's approach. See our Equality Policy here: <a href="https://www.britishcouncil.org/about-us/our-values/equality-diversity-inclusion">https://www.britishcouncil.org/about-us/our-values/equality-diversity-inclusion</a>

#### **Gender Equality**

To comply with the International Development (Gender Equality) Act 2014, applications must outline how they have taken meaningful yet proportionate consideration as to how the project will contribute to reducing gender inequalities in the Gender Equality Statement section of the application form.

#### **Gender Equality Statement**

Applicants are required to consider the impact their project will have on gender and provide a gender statement. It should not be a re-statement of your Institution's policy; you may refer to the policy but should show how the policy will be implemented in terms of the project. Below are the project aspects that can be taken into consideration for the gender statement but not limited to.

- Outputs
- Outcomes
- Make-up of the project team; participants, stakeholders and beneficiaries of the project
- Processes followed throughout the project.
- Budget
- Risk
- Measures taken to facilitate the participation of people from different genders

Please note that the Gender Equality Statement should clarify and enable a clear demonstration that making a contribution to addressing gender inequalities has been considered in the project development. It is expected that the approach will be reflected in other sections of the application including the project description, outcomes and outputs.

All applications are checked for an adequate Gender Equality Statement at the eligibility stage.

The following questions should be answered when writing the statement.

- What are the key gender equality issues that are relevant to your project and how it will contribute to addressing these?
  - Please include data and evidence to support your analysis of the relevant gender equality issues. This should include issues relevant to the theme of your project and to the team that will deliver it.
- Have measures been put in place to ensure equal and meaningful opportunities for people of different genders to be involved throughout the project? This includes the development of the project, the participants of the research and innovation, and the beneficiaries of the activities.
- Drawing on the analysis of the gender equality issues think about the barriers to participation and engagement in the project and how you will overcome these through your project plan and

- activities. The expected impact of the project (benefits and losses) on people of different genders, both throughout the project and beyond.
- Describe how the project will impact women, men and people with diverse gender identities. In particular show how the project will reduce the gender inequalities that have been set out in the question above.
- The impact on the relations between people of different genders and people of the same gender.
- Describe how you intend the programme to bring about change in unequal power relations. For example, changing roles and responsibilities in households, society, economy, politics, power, etc.
- How will any risks and unintended negative consequences on gender equality be avoided or mitigated against, and monitored?
- Are there any relevant outcomes and outputs being measured, with data disaggregated by age and gender (where disclosed)?
- At what stage of the gender journey will the project start, and where you aim to be at the end of it.

Not all questions will be applicable. If a question is not applicable, you will need to articulate the reasons why.

Applicants are encouraged to check the "Guide to addressing gender equality" document.

British Council reserve the right to reject the application if inadequate consideration has been given to gender equality or if the proposal is assessed to result in a negative impact for gender equality. Please refer to the separate guidance on Gender Equality Statements that has been included with the documents for this grant call.

#### **Approach for Accessibility adjustments**

Applicants are encouraged to take a proactive approach to consider accessibility into the design and delivery of their proposed activity, so that we can improve the experience for everyone, including in particular for disabled people. Applicants may request adjustments or additional support for their application, the funded project activities and reporting stages. Please provide details on specific requests for any support, access arrangements, or accommodation, and any costs associated with the adjustments. These will be considered on a case-by-case basis and dependent on the funding available. If you wish to discuss the requests and/or costs application, please contact your goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org.

## 10. Budget Sheet

Budgets must only be submitted using the Budget Sheet supplied within the Grant Call Documentation, and your application will be deemed ineligible if your budget is submitted via any other method.

You will note that some budget categories are subject to a cap which is set as a percentage of the total amount of funding being applied for. Where a budget cap is specified, it must be adhered to as it is a stipulation of the funding. If caps are not adhered to, your requested budget may be subject to correction by the British Council to make it compliant, and this may result in a reduction of your grant.

A list of eligible and ineligible costs can be found in **Annex 2.** 

#### Section 1 – Human Resource/Staff Costs

- There is a cap set at 30% of the total grant staff costs. .
- List all Contracting and Partnering institution staff working on the project
  - > Permanent staff are employed by the hosting institution, with a contract that will outlast the project grant and reporting period. These are permanent academic (full time staff members) employed by the institution.
  - > Project staff are employed on or temporarily assigned to the project, often on fixed term, part time or short contracts, usually with more of their time dedicated to the work related with this project
- The number of units multiplied by the unit rate will populate Column H. In Column I confirm how
  much of this total is it intended be covered by the grant. Be clear how any residual costs will be
  covered if not entirely by the grant.
- If the entire cost is not being covered by the Grant, a residual amount will populate in Column J, and you will explain how this amount is being covered in Column K.
- In Column M you confirm how staff costs are monitored/controlled for Audit purposes.

#### **Section 2 – Expertise Costs**

Sometimes Grant applicants wish to budget for non-institutional Staff costs (e.g. Consultants, Industry experts, advisors, Associate partners etc.). These costs included in Section 2.

- There is a cap set at 20% of the total grant being applied for
- The number of units multiplied by the unit rate will populate Column H. In Column I confirm how
  much of this total is it intended be covered by the grant. Be clear how any residual costs will be
  covered if not entirely by the grant.
- If the entire cost is not being covered by the Grant, a residual amount will populate in Column J, and you will explain how this amount is being covered in Column K
- In Column M you confirm how staff costs are monitored/controlled for Audit purposes

#### Section 3 – International Travel and Subsistence

- There is a cap set at 20% of the total grant being applied for
- Supply details of approximate costs/allocation for your travel and subsistence costs. Be clear how
  any residual costs will be covered if not entirely by the grant.
- Read Annex 2 for guidance on permitted costs
- As per sections 1 and 2 clarify what portion of these costs is it intended be covered by the grant and how any residual costs are to be funded

#### **Section 4 – Operational and Activity Costs**

- In section 4 you can give the approximate costs/allocation you intend to use for specific activities. Be clear how any residual costs will be covered if not entirely by the grant.
- Read Annex 2 for guidance on permitted costs
- Domestic travel associated with the activities should be accounted for in this section.
- As per sections 1, 2 and 3— clarify what portion of these costs is it intended be covered by the grant and how any residual costs are to be funded

#### **Section 5 – Marketing and Communication Costs**

- In section 5 you can give the approximate costs/allocation you intend to use for any Marketing and Communications aspects of your proposal. Be clear how any residual costs will be covered if not entirely by the grant.
- Read Annex 2 for guidance on permitted costs
- As per the previous sections— clarify what portion of these costs is it intended be covered by the grant and how any residual costs are to be funded

#### Section 6 - Miscellaneous and Additional Costs

- In section 6 you can give the approximate costs/allocation you intend to use for any elements not covered in sections 1-5. Be clear how any residual costs will be covered if not entirely by the grant.
- Read Annex 2 for guidance on permitted costs
- Typically applicants use this section to show administrative costs e.g. international bank transfer costs associated with grant disbursements to partners etc

#### **Budget Summary**

After Section 6 a summary of the sections above will self-populate.

Column I shows a section-by-section summary of the costs you have indicated will be covered by your grant if you application is successful.

Column J will flag any issues. If for sections 1-3 the box turns green and displays "This is within the funding rules" then no action is required.

If, however, the box turns red it will give a message to highlight what the issue is e.g. "This is outside the 30% funding rules" or "This is outside the 20% funding rules" and you must return to the appropriate section and revisit your proposed budget.

Any budget sheet submitted that contains red warnings will be returned and may jeopardise your application.

The last row of the Budget Sheet will show in Column G, the grant amount you are applying for and in Column I the total budget you are intending to submit.

- If your planned budget exceeds the grant you are applying for you will see a warning message stating, "Your budget exceeds the grant you have requested – please review your budget before submitting"
  - > You cannot budget for more than the value of the grant and if your budget sheet is submitted with this warning it will be returned and may jeopardise your application
- If your planned budget is lower than the grant you are applying for you will see a warning message stating, "Your planned budget is less than the grant you have requested do you need to review your budget to ensure everything has been included"
  - > If this is the case, you could either revisit the grant you are claiming and reduce it to your planned budget; or revisit your budget to ensure you haven't miscalculated
  - > If you submit your application with this message your application will still be considered but based on your proposed budget and not the grant figure so please ensure you are happy that you have not missed anything.

## 11. Selection Process

- All applications received by the deadline will be logged and acknowledged if you have not received
  an acknowledgement within seven days, please check your spam folder for messages from
  goingglobalindia@in.britishcouncil.org. If you have not received any acknowledgement of receipt of
  application, please contact us with details.
- Applications will be reviewed for completeness if we do not have everything requested in the Presubmission Checklist your application may be declared ineligible and not proceed to next stage. We receive large volumes of applications so we may not be able to notify you of this outcome at this stage.
- Applications will be assessed by a panel of subject experts, on the basis of assessment criteria specified in the next section
- Top ranked applications will be selected and will be notified about their selection for contracting and disbursement of grants

Unsuccessful applications will be notified at a later stage. You can ask for feedback to your application by writing to <a href="mailto:Goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org">Goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org</a>

## 12. Pre-Submission Checklist

This application has been discussed and agreed between both lead partners who are aware of this project.

The application form and supporting documents have been completed in English.

The applicants have included supporting letters from each of the Contracting and Lead Institutions, on headed paper, signed by the Head of Department or other person with appropriate delegated authority, giving specific commitment to the project. Supporting letters must be in English and not be signed by Lead Applicant(s). As stated in these guidelines, email versions of letters are acceptable.

Lead Institutions must have the capacity to administer a grant and satisfy British Council requirements to prevent bribery, fraud and professional misconduct. Applicants confirm that they comply with British Council requirements by responding to Pre-submission Confirmation in the application form.

Applicants have submitted a detailed budget request using the budget spreadsheet provided with the grant call documents on our funding call page.

Applicants have submitted a CV for both Lead Applicants.

Where relevant, Associated Partner letters have been uploaded.

Human resource costs: Staff costs for personnel working directly on the grant funded project limited to 30% of the grant to be awarded.

UK expertise costs: Limited to 20% of grant to be awarded.

Travel costs: Limited to 20% of the grant.

## 13. Assessment Criteria

Project quality and relevance: possible criteria		Range
		0–20
The academic importance and timeliness of the research topic is clearly demonstrated.	20 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level 16 to 19 points: Meets	
The Lead Applicants have sufficient relevant experience to lead the proposed workshops and achieve the stated objectives.		
The collaborating institutions are of appropriate academic standing.	the majority of the crite to a very high level	•

Methodology/proposal/project design: possible criteria  Score Range  0-20  The description of the activity(s) includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact. Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal saims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  There is clear evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account  Non-academic stakeholders will be integrated in the workshop	Methodology/proposal/project design: possible criteria  Methodology/proposal/project design: possible criteria  Score Range  0-20  The description of the activity(s) includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact. Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account	The proposed activities clearly meet country's priorities and capacity strengthening needs  The proposal clearly states how the proposed activities contribute to structural change in a particular institution and could be relevant at a local/regional/national level.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration with the UK and partner country institution, and to the capacity strengthening activities, are clearly described.	the majority of to a high level 6 to 10 point majority of the an adequate 1 to 5 points some of the company of	points: Meets the y of the criteria to quate level points: Meets of the criteria to an	
The description of the activity(s) includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact. Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account	The description of the activity(s) includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact. Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account Non-academic stakeholders will be integrated in the workshop		<b>0 points:</b> Fai any of the crit	ls to meet teria to an	
The description of the activity(s) includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact.  Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account	The description of the activity(s) includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact. Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account Non-academic stakeholders will be integrated in the workshop	lethodology/proposal/project design: possible criteria	Score	Range	
criteria to an exceptional level  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact.  Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account	The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact.  Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.  There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities.  Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account Non-academic stakeholders will be integrated in the workshop			0–20	
Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account	Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.  The workshop proposal takes interdisciplinary collaboration into account  Non-academic stakeholders will be integrated in the workshop	objectives and outputs.  The activities are well planned and defined. The proposal contains clear, feasible, and realistic objectives, as well as potential for long term impact. Applicants clearly articulate specific outputs anticipated from the collaboration and objectives likely to be achieved  The methodology has been clearly articulated and is achievable within the given timeframes.  The proposal's aims are commensurate with the experience of the individuals involved in the project.  The proposal represents value for money and all costs are fully justifiable.  The timelines are realistic and there is an effective monitoring framework in place.	criteria to an exceptional level  16 to 19 points: Meets the majority of the crite to a very high level  11 to 15 points: Meets the majority of the crite to a high level  6 to 10 points: Meets the majority of the criteria the majority of the criter		
		Risks and any mitigation strategies have been clearly defined.  The description of the workshop includes clear, feasible and realistic objectives and outputs.  There is clear evidence that the proposed workshop supports new links or significantly extends and develops existing links.  The benefits and relevance of the collaboration to the UK and partner country institution, and to the research itself, are clearly described.  There is strong evidence of support from both the home and host institutions.  The workshop is justified as a standalone activity, or as part of a larger programme.  The agenda of the workshop is well structured with interactive sessions.	<b>0 points:</b> Fai	ls to meet teria to an	

Sustainability and capacity building: possible criteria	Score	Range	
		0–20	
The potential in terms of professional development and capacity building for early career researchers taking part in the workshop, and for other potential beneficiaries, is clearly described.	<b>20 points</b> : M criteria to an level		

The proposal includes a clear and feasible description of how the Principal Applicants institutions intend to sustain their collaboration over the longer term.

The proposal includes a clear and feasible description of how the Lead Institution intends to ensure the outcomes are achieved beyond the funding period.

There is a clear plan for how the benefits of the activity(s) will be developed beyond the institution, whether at a local/regional/national level

There is involvement of Associated Partners who will aid in sustaining the activity outcomes (if applicable)

There is a planned mechanism for the dissemination of the results of the activities.

**16 to 19 points:** Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level

**11 to 15 points:** Meets the majority of the criteria to a high level

**6 to 10 points:** Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level

1 to 5 points: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level

**0 points:** Fails to meet any of the criteria to an adequate level.

## 14. Key Milestone Dates

Milestone	Timelines
Deadline for applications	Sunday 17 August 2025; 23:59 (UK time)
Results announcement	Wednesday 17 September 2025
Contracts signed	Tuesday 23 September 2025
Grant payments	100 % by October 2025
Request for feedback	Friday 10 October 2025
Interim Report (including financial evidence)	March 2026
Final Closure report (including financial evidence)	November 2026

All dates may be subject to change if the call received significantly more applications than expected.

## 15. Applicant screening

To comply with UK government legislation, the British Council may at any point during the application process, carry out searches of relevant third-party screening databases to ensure that neither the applicant institutions nor any of the applicants' employees, partners, directors, shareholders are listed:

- as an individual or entity with whom national or supranational bodies have decreedorganisations should not have financial dealings; and/or
- as being wanted by Interpol or any national law enforcement body in connection with crime.
- as being subject to regulatory action by a national or international enforcement body; and/or
- as being subject to export, trade or procurement controls or (in the case of anindividual) as

- being disqualified from being a company director; and/or
- as being a heightened risk individual or organisation, or (in the case of an individual) apolitically exposed person.

If the applicant or any other party is listed in a Screening Database for any of the reasons set out above, the British Council will assess the applicant as ineligible to apply for this grant call.

The applicant must provide the British Council with all information reasonably requested by the British Council to complete the screening searches.

Please read the text to this effect on the application form and tick the box to show that you understand this.

## 16. Granting Process

When a decision has been made on applications the British Council will send out a notification to all successful applicants with a timeline to accept the Grant. This will be followed by sharing of the British Council standard Grant Agreement for signatures. Funds will be disbursed only upon the British Council receiving an acceptably signed copy of the Grant Agreement. It will be expected that the Grantee is able to return a signed copy of agreement within the stipulated timelines given by the British Council, and the funding may be at risk if the stipulated timelines are not adhered to.

British Council will also ask for a copy of the Contracting Institution's most recent Bank Details.

## 17. British Council contractual requirements

- The contracting authority is the British Council which includes any subsidiary companies and other organisations that control or are controlled by the British Councilfrom time to time
  - > (see: www.britishcouncil.org/organisation/structure/status).
- The Contracting Institution for the partnership will sign the Grant Agreement with the British Council. Other key partners are names in the Grant Agreement. The Contracting Institution is responsible for flowing down the relevant clauses to the other partners.
- The successful applicants will be expected to undertake activities in the UK and in the overseas countries listed in these guidelines.
- The British Council is subject to the requirements of the UK Freedom of Information Act, ("FOIA").
   Please indicate in your application whether FOIA also applies to your organisation, so that we can reflect this in the Grant Agreement should you be successful in your application.
- A copy of the Grant Agreement is available with the call document.
- Terms and Conditions of the Grant Agreement: By submitting a response to this call for applications, you are agreeing to be bound by the terms of these guidelines and the Grant

#### Agreement without further negotiation or amendment.

• If you have any concerns or queries in relation to the Grant Agreement, you should submit a clarification request to <a href="mailto:goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org">goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org</a> in accordance with the provisions of this call for applications by the application deadline

## 18. Monitoring and Reporting

The Contracting Institution is responsible for complying with the requirements of monitoring and reporting as detailed in the Grant Agreement. We require both financial and activity reporting.

# Annex 1 – List of eligible not-for-profit research institutions, establishment, and organisations

Please note that where organisations have both for-profit and not-for-profit arms, it must be clear that this grant is held and administered by the not-for-profit arm of the organisation:

- Alan Turing Institute
- All NHS Trusts, hospitals, boards, primary care trusts and GP practices
- Animal and Plant Health Agency
- Anthony Nolan
- Armagh Observatory
- Babraham Institute
- BirdLife International
- British Film Institute
- British Institute of International and Comparative Law
- British Library
- British Museum
- British Trust for Ornithology
- Butterfly Conservation
- CABI (Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International)
- Cambridge Arctic Shelf Programme
- Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre
- Cell and Gene Therapy Catapult
- Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science
- CERN
- Chatham House (Royal Institute of International Affairs)
- Culham Centre for Fusion Energy (part of UK Atomic Energy Authority)
- Defence Science and Technology Laboratory
- Diamond Light Source
- Earlham Institute
- Earthwatch Institute
- Environment Agency
- European Bioinformatics Institute
- European Synchrotron Radiation Facility
- Fera Ltd
- Forest Research
- Health and Safety Executive PSRE
- Health Data Research UK
- Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England
- Historic Environment Scotland
- Historic Royal Palaces
- HR Wallingford Group
- Imperial War Museum

- Institute for Fiscal Studies
- Institute of Development Studies
- Institute of Occupational Medicine
- International Institute for Environment and Development
- Isaac Newton Group
- John Innes Centre
- Joint Astronomy Centre
- Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)
- London Institute for Mathematical Sciences
- Malaria Consortium (UK)
- Manufacturing Technology Centre
- Marine Biological Association
- Marine Scotland Science
- Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA)
- Moredun Research Institute
- MRC Harwell Institute
- MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology
- MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences
- Museum of London Archaeology
- National Archives
- National Centre for Social Research
- National Foundation for Educational Research
- National Gallery
- National Institute of Agricultural Botany
- National Institute of Economic and Social Research
- National Maritime Museum
- National Museum Wales
- National Museums Liverpool
- National Museums of Scotland
- National Nuclear Laboratory
- National Oceanography Centre
- National Physical Laboratory
- National Portrait Gallery
- Natural England
- Natural History Museum
- NERC British Antarctic Survey
- NERC British Geological Survey
- Nesta
- Office for National Statistics
- Overseas Development Institute
- Plymouth Marine Laboratory
- Public Health England
- Quadram Institute Bioscience
- RAND Europe Community Interest Company
- Rosalind Franklin Institute

- Rothamsted Research
- Royal Botanic Gardens Edinburgh
- Royal Botanic Gardens Kew
- Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
- Royal United Services Institute for Defence and Security Studies
- Science and Advice for Scottish Agriculture.
- Science Museum Group
- Scottish Association for Marine Sciences
- Sightsavers
- STFC laboratories
- Tate
- Tavistock Institute of Human Relations
- The Faraday Institution
- The Francis Crick Institute
- The James Hutton Institute
- The Manufacturing Technology Centre Ltd
- The National Trust
- The Office of the Health Economics
- The Pirbright Institute
- The Resolution Foundation
- The Royal Shakespeare Company
- The Welding Institute
- Transport Research Laboratory
- UK Astronomy Technology Centre.
- UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology
- Victoria and Albert Museum
- Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute
- World Conservation Monitoring Centre
- Young Foundation
- Zoological Society of London, Institute of Zoology.

If you believe your organisation is eligible but is not on this list, please contact us via goingglobalindia@britishcouncil.org before submitting a proposal for this call.

## Annex 2 - Eligible and ineligible costs

#### **Eligible costs**

The following costs are eligible for funding:

- Travel: Travel (economy class) and subsistence costs to the UK/partner country, visa fees, vaccinations, quarantine costs (not exceeding GBP800 per person for 14 days), medical insurance, and roaming charges during travel essential to the project, to the UK and partner country.
- Local travel in the UK and overseas (public transport to and from the airport and for meetings/visits is encouraged where possible).
- Reasonable accommodation and subsistence costs for staff when visiting their partner organisation in the UK or overseas
- Reasonable hospitality costs (excluding self-entertaining costs).
- Reasonable production costs (such as for the development of materials but excluding time spent by staff in relation thereto).
- Consultancy fee (for external procurement and up to 20% of the total project costs).
- Essential equipment for use in the project including consumables, specialist software licences essential to the collaboration, access fees for facilities or library services. Equipment must be essential to project delivery and beyond the scope of institutional provision.
- Cost of meetings, training events, workshops, public engagement events, and seminars integral to the proposal. Translation and interpreter fees.
- Publication costs directly related to the collaboration, including web page development by external providers, if appropriate. Open access publication is encouraged.
- Online platform and relevant costs for digital delivery can be included.
- Attendance at conferences or other events in the UK, partner countries, or virtual events to present the outputs and outcomes of the project.
- Monitoring and evaluation costs.
- All the foregoing activities must be directly related to the development and delivery of the agreed project. Partners will be asked to provide the British Council with information on expenditure, supported by receipts for monitoring and auditing purposes.
- The cost of staff time for full-time personnel working directly on the project -only the time allocated for the project, plus the costs of temporary personnel and other temporary staff recruited to work solely on the project,
- Exchange rate costs and other banking-related costs.

#### **Ineligible costs**

The following costs are ineligible for funding:

- Promotional activities solely concerned with the recruitment of overseas students.
- Institutional overheads including administration fees and other indirect costs.
- Costs associated with Master's and PhD scholarships (including stipends).
- Purchase or rental of standard office equipment (except specialist equipment essential to the research). This includes IT hardware laptops, personal computers, tablets, smartphones, Mac

- workstations, computer parts and peripherals, etc. Any standard hardware routinely used by researchers and academics will not be funded.
- Office software and office equipment including desks, chairs, filing cabinets, photocopiers, printers, and fax machines.
- Mobile phone costs including rental or purchase, and monthly phone bills.
- IP costs, patent, copyright, licensing, or other IP-related costs.
- Costs relating to the construction, procurement, or rental of physical infrastructure (e.g., office buildings, laboratory facilities). Rooms and facilities essential for routine collaboration are provided as an in-kind contribution by participating institutions. These can be detailed as an in-kind contribution in the budget breakdown.
- Entertainment costs such as gifts, alcohol, restaurant bills, or hospitality costs for personnel not directly participating in the project, excessive restaurant costs, and excessive taxi fares.
- No profit or fees must be charged to the grant.
- British Council Grants cannot be converted to any Gift Cards, non-monetary assets, Crypto currencies.